

The R6 REST API Journey

ABOUT US



Herbert Mühlburger herbert.muehlburger@infononva.com Senior System Engineer @hmuehlburger



Richard Raumberger richard.raumberger@infonova.com System Engineer @der_raumbaer

AGENDA

- > About Infonova and Infonova R6
- RESTful APIs
- Infonova R6 REST API
- New Infonova R6 REST API
- Lessons Learned

ABOUT INFONOVA



HQ in Graz, Offices Graz & Vienna, >350 employees

Subsidiary of BearingPoint

- (European Business & Technology Consulting firm)
- Long established Comms & Media client relationships
- Austrian Leading Companies Award
- "Top 10 to Watch Company" 2015



INFONOVA R6

Product Management

Development Center

Integration, Innovation, Testing Centre

ADVISORY & SOLUTION ENGINEERING

Digital Strategy & Digital Transformation

Enterprise Architecture & Solution Design

Solution Delivery & Operations

OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT SERVICES

24x7 global Operations and Maintenance Support Centre

Certified Database, Storage and Server, Network services

Network and Security, IT and Servicedesk experts















ABOUT INFONOVA

Worldwide Customers Base in different Industries

Scrum

Operations & Support Services

State-of-the-art Technologies

Continuous Integration

Advisory & Solution Engineering

infonova

SELECTION OF INFONOVA R6 DEPLOYMENT AND CATALYST REFERENCES







Getränke

Sport/Gesundheit



L-e-g-e-n-d-a-r-y Weihnachtsfeier

Massage Team-Events

Essensmarken



Fortbildung

Tacharian Consultant (Anabitant (m (m)		C ran	
Technical Consultant / Architect (m/w)		Graz	
Software Tester (m/w)		Graz	
Senior Java Software Engineer (m/w)	<u> </u>	raz	
Junior Java Software Engineer (m/w)		Graz	
Server Spezialist für Microsoft Umgebungen (m/w)		Gra	
Application Support Engineer (m/w)		Graz	
DevOpS Engineer (m/w)		Graz	6.
Software Architect Software-as-a-Service (m/w)		Graz	116.
Jurist mit Schwerpunkt Vertragsrecht (m/w)		Graz	11
Agile Coach/Agile Consultant (m/w)		Graz, Wien	17
Solution Architekt (m/w)		Graz, Wien	
Product Owner/Junior Projekt Manager (m/w)		Wien	
Business Consultant für Enterprise Solutions (m/w)		Wien	
Senior Software Engineer (m/w)		Wien	
Junior Software Engineer (m/w)		Wien	

infonova.com/jobs



infonova

INFONOVA R6 – DIGITAL BUSINESS ENABLEMENT CAPABILITIES

PRODUCT	SCUSTOMER	RS ORDERS	BILLING	FINANCE
Retail Product Catalogue	Customer Information Management	Order Capture	Billing Data Mediation	Receivables Management
Wholesale Product Catalogue	Case Management	Order Management	Convergent Charging & Rating	Dispute & Adjustment Management
Service Catalogue	Product & Service Inventory	Service and Resource Fulfilment	Bill Calculation/ Invoicing/ Formatting	Collection Management

INFONOVA R6 - DIGITAL BUSINESS ENABLEMENT CAPABILITIES

Each tenant can sell **retail offers** to end customers – Retail offers can be based on the tenant's own services or wholesale services from other tenants Each tenant can sell **wholesale offers** to other tenants – Wholesale offers can be based on the tenant's own services or wholesale services from other tenants



Each tenant can directly onboard and manage its own **internal services**

Each tenant can purchase external services from other tenants

INFONOVA R6 - DIGITAL BUSINESS ENABLEMENT CAPABILITIES



INFONOVA R6 INTEGRATION FRAMEWORK





RESTful APIs

REST

- Representational State Transfer (REST)
- Architectural pattern for distributed systems
- PhD Thesis of Roy Fielding "Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures" (2000)

Formal Constraints of a RESTful Architecture

- Client-Server architectural pattern
- Stateless
- Cacheable
- Layered
- Code-on-Demand
- Uniform Interface



Richardson Maturity Model

- Level 0 RESTless
 - ... one endpoint to fit them all
- Level 1 Resources
 - ... using divide and conquer, breaking a large service endpoint down into multiple resources
- Level 2 HTTP Verbs
 - ... introduces a standard set of verbs so that we handle similar situations in the same way, removing unnecessary variation
- Level 3 Hypermedia Controls (HATEOAS)
 - ... introduces discoverability, providing a way of making a protocol more self-documenting

(via martinfowler.com)



Infonova R6 REST API

Infonova R6 REST API - Architecture

- R6 REST API
 - Existing legacy API
 - Number of endpoints: 85
 - Not all areas covered
- R6 API-Gateway
 - Replacement for legacy API
- R6 Frontend API
 - "Backend for Frontend"



Infonova R6 REST API – Current Status

- Organically grown out of a monolith
 - Resources extracted in their own artifact
- No Versioning
 - New and updated endpoints may change behavior
- Maintainability
 - Breaking changes difficult due to dependent projects

Infonova R6 REST API – Current Status

- Consistency
 - Endpoints do not use the HTTP semantics consistently; e.g. POST vs. PUT
 - Mix-up of HTTP status codes; e.g. 201 (Created) vs. 202 (Accepted) vs. 204 (No Content)
 - Error handling
 - Not as transparent as we want it to be
- Documentation maintained separately
 - Might be out-of-sync
- Large Payloads
 - Backed by XSD
 - Shared models

Goals for the new API

Consistent APIs

- Endpoints
- Error messages and error handling
- Documentation
- Versioning from the very beginning
- Loosely coupled API modules
 - Hypermedia links between resources

Goals for the new API

Expose all business relevant information via REST

- Support different users
 - developers (internal view)
 - Hide HTTP semantics from developers
 - customers (external view)
 - Support different business cases from a customer perspective

Prepare for future technology changes

Disclaimer



Infrastructure

- Realized using an API-Gateway
- Based on
 - Spring Boot
 - Embedded Servlet Container
- Resources are packaged, developed and deployed in their respective services
 - e.g. all customer related resources in the customer related service
- API-Gateway delegates calls to the services



Documentation

- Markdown? Latex? Asciidoc? Swagger? Other?
- Result:
 - Asciidoc
 - Spring REST Docs
- Examples are generated via unit-tests
 - No more out of sync examples
- > Why not Swagger?
 - There's more to it, than "just" resources
 - No mutual exclusion, but a question of prioritization



Versioning

- > What should be versioned?
 - Whole API?
 - Do I want to release the whole API for every change?
 - Single Resource?
 - Do I want to manage this granularity?
- Solution: logical modules (e.g. customerAccounts, orders, etc.)
- Releasing a new stable version of an API leads to deprecation of previous versions
- Support for deprecated APIs will be provided for an additional major release
 - Support will be discontinued afterwards

Versioning

Breaking Changes

- May alter the overall behavior and functionality of the API
- May be applied to released beta APIs
- May only be applied to beta APIs or to a new version of stable APIs
- Do not affect released stable APIs
- Non-breaking Changes
 - Do not alter the overall behavior of the APIs
 - Do not require client adaptions. Existing requests work as before.
 - May be added for all released stable APIs

Versioning

Methods

- URL? (<u>http://host/api/v1/resource</u>)
- Accept-Header? (<u>http://host/api/resource</u> Accept: vnd.infonova.r6.v1+json)
- Custom-Header? (<u>http://host/api/resource</u> Api-Version: 1)
- None
- Each method kinda sucks...

Results

- Method: URL
- Target: Module
- e.g. GET http://host/api/customerAccounts/v1/history

API - Rollout Process

- Internal Review → Feedback!
- > Public Review \rightarrow Feedback!
- Public Beta
- Stable Release
- Maintenance

General Improvements

- > Complete API Guidelines
 - including a framework supporting devs to produce compliant APIs

Reviews

> (Almost) no shared models between APIs

Hypermedia

• Linking between resources; e.g.

"_links": {

"reference:HistoryType": {

"templated": true,

```
"href": "/r6-api/tenant/customers/v1/historyTypes/{type}"
```

} }

Lessons Learned

- Collect feedback as early as possible
- > Don't make it perfect, but perfectly useable
 - Purism vs. Pragmatism
 - Build APIs for the clients, not the backend
- Software evolves, so does your API → Plan for it!
 - Look in the magic software crystal ball!
- Keep payloads small
 - Don't cover every possibility in one response
 - Instead, enable resource aggregation
- Be careful with common schemas
 - Adding elements to one resource might not be suitable for another resource

References

Richardson Maturity Model <u>https://martinfowler.com/articles/richardsonMaturityModel.html</u>

Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures <u>http://www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/top.htm</u>



GLT17 Besucherumfrage https://survey.linuxtage.at